

Anton Ewing
3077 B Clairmont Drive #372
San Diego, CA 92117
(619) 719-9640
anton@antonewing.com

Plaintiff In Pro Per

**THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Claudine Osgood,
Anton Ewing ,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Jerrod McAllister, an individual aka
Jerrod Robker,
Main Streat Marketing, LLC, a Utah
limited Liability Company;
DOES 1-100;
ABC CORPORATIONS 1-100,
XYZ, LLC's 1-100

Defendants.

Civil Case No.3:16-CV-02415-GPC-
BGS

**NOTICE OF CRIMINAL
VIOLATION OF B&P §6128 BY
ATTORNEY ANA TAGVORYAN**

TO THE HONORABLE DISTRICT JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Plaintiff Anton Ewing, hereby notifies this Honorable Court that defendant's attorney, Ana Tagvoryan, has committed the criminal act of deceit¹ upon the Court in violation of California Business & Professions Code §6128 by declaring, under oath, that she informed Plaintiff Ewing that "Ms. Tagvoryan informed Mr. Ewing

¹ Tagvoryan has also violated B&P §6068(d) and Penal Code §118

1 prior to Plaintiffs' filing of the Joint Motion that she did not consent to Mr. Ewing
 2 placing her electronic signature on the Joint Motion or any other document he
 3 intended to file in this matter. (Declaration of Ana Tagvoryan ("Tagvoryan Decl.")
 4 ¶¶ 6, 8 & Exs. A, B.)"

5 This statement is false, fraudulent, perjured and deceitful. Plaintiff Ewing
 6 was not informed of any objection or non-consent prior to filing the Joint Motion
 7 to dismiss the case. The Court can see that the ECF filing time stamp was
 8 10:53PM on 2/7/2015. Tagvoryan engages in deceit upon Court, a criminal act by
 9 an attorney, when she states, under penalty of perjury, that she objected BEFORE
 10 the Joint Motion was filed. The Court can further confirm and verify exactly when
 11 Plaintiff Ewing logged into ECF on Tuesday night. The email that Tagvoryan has
 12 attached as an exhibit is stamped at 1:44 AM on 2/8/2017. See ECF 47-3 page 2 of
 13 2. Tagvoryan has lied to this Court. Tagvoryan has committed criminal deceit
 14 upon the Court. Tagvoryan has committed felony perjury under oath.

15 What is clear is that all parties have agreed to settle. The signed settlement
 16 agreement was emailed directly to chambers. It is also clear that Tagvoryan has
 17 not been paid a single dime and she is pissed off about that. She is so angry that
 18 she has violated the sacred oath that she swore to client. Tagvoryan has breached
 19 her client's attorney-client privilege and she has attempted to overtly harm her
 20 client.

21 Respectfully submitted,

22 Dated this 9th day of February, 2017

23 /S/ *Anton Ewing*
 24 Anton Ewing,
 25 Plaintiff in pro per